

# Example Candidate Responses Paper 1

# Cambridge O Level Mathematics (Syllabus D) 4024

For examination from 2018





Version 1.0

In order to help us develop the highest quality resources, we are undertaking a continuous programme of review; not only to measure the success of our resources but also to highlight areas for improvement and to identify new development needs.

We invite you to complete our survey by visiting the website below. Your comments on the quality and relevance of our resources are very important to us.

www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/GL6ZNJB

Would you like to become a Cambridge International consultant and help us develop support materials?

Please follow the link below to register your interest.

www.cambridgeinternational.org/cambridge-for/teachers/teacherconsultants/

#### Copyright © UCLES 2019

Cambridge Assessment International Education is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group. Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of the University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which itself is a department of the University of Cambridge.

UCLES retains the copyright on all its publications. Registered Centres are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use. However, we cannot give permission to Centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party, even for internal use within a Centre.

# Contents

Introduction	5
Question 1	7
Example Candidate Response – high	7
Question 2	8
Example Candidate Response – high	8
Question 3	9
Example Candidate Response – high	9
Question 4	10
Example Candidate Response – middle	10
Question 5	11
Example Candidate Response – high	11
Question 6	12
Example Candidate Response – middle	12
Question 7	13
Example Candidate Response – high	13
Question 8	14
Example Candidate Response – middle	14
Question 9	15
Example Candidate Response – high	15
Question 10	16
Example Candidate Response – high	16
Question 11	
Example Candidate Response – high	17
Question 12	
Example Candidate Response – middle	
Question 13	
Example Candidate Response – high	19
Question 14	20
Example Candidate Response – high	20
Question 15	
Example Candidate Response – low	
Question 16	
Question 17	
Question 18	
Question 19	27
Liample Canuluate Response – Inludie	

Question 20	
Example Candidate Response – low.	
Question 21	30
Example Candidate Despanse high	30
Question 22	
Example Candidate Response – high	
Question 23	
Example Candidate Response – high	
Question 24	
Example Candidate Response – middle	
Question 25	37
Evennle Condidate Despanse high	
Example Candidate Response – nign	

# Introduction

The main aim of this booklet is to exemplify standards for those teaching Cambridge O Level Mathematics (Syllabus D) 4024, and to show how different levels of candidates' performance relate to the subject's curriculum and assessment objectives.

In this booklet candidate responses have been chosen from June 2018 scripts to exemplify high, middle or low level answers.

For each question, the response is annotated with a clear explanation of where and why marks were awarded or omitted. This is followed by examiner comments on how the answer could have been improved. In this way, it is possible for you to understand what candidates have done to gain their marks and what they could do to improve their answers. There is also a list of common mistakes candidates made in their answers for each question.

This document provides illustrative examples of candidate work with examiner commentary. These help teachers to assess the standard required to achieve marks beyond the guidance of the mark scheme. Therefore, in some circumstances, such as where exact answers are required, there will not be much comment.

The questions and mark schemes and pre-release material used here are available to download from the School Support Hub. These files are:



5

Past exam resources and other teacher support materials are available on the School Support Hub:

www.cambridgeinternational.org/support

#### How to use this booklet

This booklet goes through the paper one question at a time, showing you the high-, middle- or low-level response for each question. The candidate answers are set in a table. In the left-hand column are the candidate answers, and in the right-hand column are the examiner comments.



### How the candidate could have improved their answer

The candidate could have written the two equivalent fractions as the first step. It was not necessary to show the multiplications leading to these fractions.

This section explains how the candidate could have improved each answer. This helps you to interpret the standard of Cambridge exams and helps your learners to refine their exam technique.

# Common mistakes candidates made in this question

They did not write the fractions with a common denominator and simply subtracted the numerators and denominators separately leading to an answer of 2/4.

6

Often candidates were not awarded marks because they misread or misinterpreted the questions.

Lists the common mistakes candidates made in answering each question. This will help your learners to avoid these mistakes and give them the best chance of achieving the available marks.



# How the candidate could have improved their answer

- The candidate could have written the two equivalent fractions as the first step. It was not necessary to show the multiplications leading to these fractions.
- A more straightforward method would be to multiply 9 by 11 to reach 99 and then make a place value adjustment to arrive at the correct answer of 0.0099.

- (a) Not writing the fractions with a common denominator and simply subtracted the numerators and denominators separately leading to an answer of  $\frac{2}{4}$ .
- (b)
  - Evaluating 9 × 11 correctly but making errors in the position of the decimal point in their final answer leading to answers such as 9.900 or 00.099.
  - Those candidates who used a long multiplication method often made arithmetic errors and reached an incorrect answer.



## How the candidate could have improved their answer

- The candidate used a very long method in part (a) to reach the correct answer. A more direct approach would have been to subtract the given values to give a loss of \$30 and then calculate 30 as a percentage of 120.
- The candidate used an appropriate method to reach the correct answer.
- The candidate should have used equivalent ratios to equate the value of *b* in the two ratios. The simplest approach would be to write *b*:*c* as 6:16. The two ratios could then be combined to give 5:6:16.

8

- (a)
  - Finding 90 as a percentage of 120 rather than the percentage loss.
  - $\circ~$  Finding the loss as a percentage of 90 rather than of 120.
- (b)
  - Giving the answer as a fraction rather than a percentage.
  - Interpreting the ratio incorrectly and used the fraction  $\frac{2}{3}$  rather than  $\frac{2}{5}$ .
- (c)
  - Not adjusting the given numbers and gave an answer of  $\frac{5}{11}:\frac{6}{11}:\frac{8}{11}$ .
  - Adding the two values of *b* leading to 5:9:8.



#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate ordered the list correctly.
- (b) The candidate showed a full method to find the mean that led to the correct answer.
- (c) The candidate should have subtracted the highest and lowest values to find the range of 2.5.

- (a) Considering only the magnitude of the negative numbers and identified -0.3 as smaller than -1.2.
- (b)
  - Leaving their answer as  $\frac{0.05}{5}$  and did not evaluate this as  $\frac{1}{100}$  or 0.01.
  - Making arithmetic errors when adding the numbers.
- (c) Not evaluating the range but left the answer in the form -1.2 to 1.3 or -1.2 < x < 1.3.

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

# How the candidate could have improved their answer

The candidate showed  $0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25$  correctly in their working, but then divided 90 by 2.5. If they had divided by 0.25, they would have reached the correct answer as their method was fully correct.

- Not being able to square 0.5 correctly.
- After finding k = 90, some used  $y = \frac{90}{x}$  rather than  $y = \frac{90}{x^2}$  to find their answer.
- Using direct proportion rather than inverse proportion.
- Taking y to be inversely proportional to x rather than to  $x^2$ .

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

# How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate factorised the difference of two squares correctly.
- (b) The candidate should have identified that taking -3x as a factor should have led to (x 6) rather than (x + 6) in their second bracket. They would have then been able to simplify this correctly to (x 3y)(x 6).

- (a) Factorising the expression incorrectly to give (5t 4)(5t + 4), (5t 2)2 or (25t 4)(25t + 4).
- (b)
  - Not being able to deal with the negative correctly when factorising -3xy + 18y.
  - Reaching the correct answer of (x 6)(x 3y), but then went on to try to find solutions for the equation (x 6)(x 3y) = 0.

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate demonstrated that they understood that a length accurate to the nearest millimetre has a lower bound of the value 0.5 below that length.
- (b) The candidate should have found the lower bound of the length and width first and then used these values to find the perimeter of the rectangle.

- (a) Giving a lower bound of 63 mm, 1 mm lower than the given length rather than 0.5 mm lower.
- (b)
  - Finding the perimeter using the given lengths and adjusted this by 0.5 mm rather than adjusting the values before calculating the perimeter.
  - Adding the lower bounds of the length and width and did not double their answer to find the perimeter.

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate demonstrated that they understood rotational symmetry and were able to complete the diagram correctly.
- (b) The candidate made a clear attempt to produce a diagram with one line of symmetry. If they had started by drawing a line of symmetry from the midpoint of one of the given chords through the centre of the circle, they would have been able to use this to help them identify the position of their chord with greater accuracy.

- (a)
  - Using an incorrect centre of rotation, usually the midpoint of OQ.
  - Producing a diagram with line symmetry rather than rotational symmetry.
  - Producing a diagram with rotational symmetry of order 3 or 4.
- (b)
  - Drawing a line joining the end of one chord to the end of the other chord.
  - Drawing another chord that did not meet either of the given chords.

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How the candidate could have improved their answer

The candidate showed all the stages of their working clearly which allowed a method mark to be awarded for a correct stage of working seen. In the third line where they collected terms, they wrote 11 rather than -11 which led to the answer of x = 1 rather than x = -1. If the candidate had checked their answer by substituting x = 1 back into the original equation they could have identified that their answer was incorrect and then checked their working to find and correct the error.

- Making sign errors when collecting terms leading to x = 1 or  $x = -\frac{11}{13}$ .
- Attempting to use a common denominator which gave a more complex equation and usually led to errors in rearrangement.

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate showed a complete correct method leading to the answer.
- (b) The candidate partially cancelled the fractions before multiplying them together. If they had cancelled the *b* terms at this stage, they would not have had to do a second stage of cancelling to reach a fully simplified answer.

- (a) Not simplifying their answer and left it as  $\frac{19a}{ca^2}$ .
- (b)
  - Not fully simplifying their answer and left it as  $\frac{20b^3}{30b^2}$ , for example.
  - Making errors in cancelling, usually with the *b* terms.

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

# How the candidate could have improved their answer

- This candidate showed their full method twice, which was unnecessary. Both methods were correct, however, it would have been better if the candidate had indicated clearly which method was the one they wanted to be marked as one method may have contained errors.
- This candidate reached the correct answer of 8000, but then converted this to standard form. This stage was not required, and if it had been done incorrectly, the candidate would not have gained full credit even though a correct answer had been seen in their working.

- Not being able to round to 2 significant figures correctly and 590 or 60 were sometimes used in place of 600 and 0.29 in place of 0.30.
- Not being able to divide by 0.30 leading to answers such as 800 rather than 8000.
- Attempting long division or long multiplication with the values given in the question rather than rounding them before performing the calculation.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate could have given their answer as  $-\frac{1}{4}$ , rather than leaving the negative sign in the denominator.
- (b) The candidate rearranged the function correctly, however, it is not acceptable to leave fractions in either the numerator or denominator of a fraction as a final answer.
- When the candidate reached  $3x = \frac{1}{y} 2$ , the next step should have been to divide each term by 3 resulting in  $x = \frac{1}{3y} \frac{2}{3}$ . This would have led to the acceptable answer of  $f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{3x} \frac{2}{3}$ .

- Leaving answers in terms of y rather than x.
- Giving an answer containing a fraction in the numerator.
- · Some candidates were unable to manipulate the algebraic fraction correctly.
- Making sign errors when rearranging.

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) If this candidate had understood that relative frequency must be between 0 and 1, they would have realised that their answer could not be correct. They could have then divided these values correctly to give the answer  $\frac{80}{400}$  or 0.2.
- (b) The candidate demonstrated that they understood how to find the expected outcome, even although they did not understand the term relative frequency.

- Part (a)
  - Often giving the answer of the frequency, 80, rather than the relative frequency,  $\frac{80}{400}$ .
  - $\circ~$  Dividing the total frequency, 400, by the frequency of throwing a 2.
  - Giving a correct fraction,  $\frac{80}{400}$ , but simplified it incorrectly.
- Part (b) Candidates gave a fractional answer such as  $\frac{200}{1000}$ .

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate should have clearly indicated which value was their frequency density for  $0 \le d \le 100$ . If one of these values had been incorrect, the candidate would have been awarded B1 for the correct frequency densities for the other three groups.
- (b) The candidate should have used proportion to find the number of children in the range 400 to 500 metres. They needed to use the group width of 300, which gave  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the 60 children, or 20, in that range. They should then have added 20 to the 50 in the final group to give an estimate of 70.

- (a)
  - Dividing the frequencies by 270, the total number of children, rather than by the group widths.
  - Multiplying the frequencies by the group widths.
  - Dividing by the midpoint of the group.
  - Using a group width of 100 for all the groups.
- (b) Not using proportion to find the number of children in the range 400 to 500, and common answers were 60, 80 or 110.

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate should have shown (22 2) × 180 as their first line. Their omission of brackets could have led to them reaching an incorrect answer.
- (b) The candidate could have checked the answer to their division. A simple check of multiplying 143 by 20 to give 2860 would have identified the error.

- (a)
  - Correctly calculating 3600 but then dividing by 22 to find the size of one interior angle in a regular 22-sided polygon.
  - $\circ~$  Finding 22  $\times$  180 or 21  $\times$  180 rather than 20  $\times$  180.
- (b)
  - Not using the angle total found in the previous part, but using a different total, often 360.
  - Misreading the question and only including one angle of 170°.
  - Misinterpreting the question and found the interior angle of a regular 20-sided polygon.

Exa	ample Candidate Response – Iow	Examiner comments
15	During two weeks, a shopkeeper records the number of packets of two different types of tea he sells and the profit he makes from them.	
	<ul> <li>Week 1</li> <li>Type A tea, 30 packets sold, profit of \$1.20 on each packet</li> <li>Type B tea, 20 packets sold, profit of \$2 on each packet</li> </ul>	
	Week 2 Type A tea, 40 packets sold, loss of \$0.50 on each packet Type B tea, 30 packets sold, profit of \$3 on each packet This information can be represented by these matrices. (30 20) (40 30) $\binom{1.2}{2}$ $\binom{-0.5}{3}$ (a) Work out (30 20) $\binom{1.2}{2}$ - (40 30) $\binom{-0.5}{3}$ . = (30x + 2) + (20x2) - (40x - 0.5) + (30x 3) (12) = (30x + 2) + (20x 2) (12) + (40) = (-20) + (9) = 76 + 20 + 90 (2)^{12}	<ol> <li>The candidate has attempted the correct method for multiplying these matrices. They have not put brackets around the product of the second pair of matrices.</li> <li>This line should be 76 + 20 - 90.</li> <li>They are awarded B1 for 76 which is the correct product for the first pair of matrices. Mark for (a) = 1 out of 2</li> </ol>
	= 186 Answer (186) [2] (b) Explain the meaning of your answer to part (a). Total profit by got in 2 weaks 4	<ul> <li>They identify that the answer relates to the profit gained in two weeks, but have incorrectly identified it as a total rather than a difference.</li> <li>Mark for (b) = 0 out of 1</li> </ul>
	. [1]	Total mark awarded = 1 out of 3

# How the candidate could have improved their answer

In part (a), the candidate understood the correct process for multiplying these matrices and multiplied 40 by -0.5 correctly. If they had put a bracket around the result of the second product, ( $40 \times -0.5 + 30 \times 3$ ) they would have evaluated this as 70 rather than 110. This would then have led to (76 - 70) and the correct answer of (6).

- (a)
  - Making errors when multiplying by a decimal or a negative value.
  - Multiplying the elements of the matrices incorrectly leading to the answer  $\binom{56}{50}$  or (56 50) or a 2 by 2 matrix.
- (b)
  - Giving an explanation that was vague and did not include the key ideas of difference, profit and weeks.
  - Identifying it as a total rather than a difference.

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate showed accurate plots for the required points and joined them with a correct curve.
- (b)(i) and (ii) they read values off the graph correctly to find the median and lower quartile.
- (b)(iii) The candidate read the cumulative frequency for 1.85 grams correctly as 125. The question asked for the number of beetles with a mass greater than this, so they should have subtracted 125 from 200 to reach the answer of 75.

- (a)
  - Misinterpreting the scale and plotted points in the wrong vertical position.
  - Continuing the curve beyond m = 3.
- (b)
  - Having identified the correct cumulative frequencies, candidates misread the horizontal scale when finding the median and lower quartile.
  - Finding the number of beetles with a mass less than 1.85 grams rather than greater than 1.85 grams.
  - Misreading the vertical scale.

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate used correct reasoning to identify the dimensions of the pyramid. They used these dimensions to construct an accurate net.
- (b) The candidate calculated the total area of the four triangular faces but did not include the area of the base of the pyramid. They should have added the area of the square, 3 × 3, to their answer of 27 to find the total surface area of 36 cm<sup>2</sup>.

- (a)
  - Drawing a triangle of height 5 cm on the top of the net and height 4 cm on the right of the net.
  - Drawing the correct triangle at the top of the net, but an isosceles triangle on the right.
  - Not considering how the edges of the triangles would join when folded to make a pyramid.
- (b)
  - Omitting the area of the base from their surface area calculation.
  - Adding four triangles all of base 3 cm and height 4 cm to the area of the base.
  - Finding the volume of the pyramid rather than the surface area.

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

# How the candidate could have improved their answer

The only line that the candidate drew completely across the grid was x + y = 10. Although they identified the positions of the other four required lines on the axes, they did not draw these lines across the grid, but just used these points as a guide to complete the outline of their region. Their final answer did not satisfy  $5 \le y$ , because that line was not included as one of the borders of their region.

- Identifying the wrong side of the line x + y = 10.
- Mixing up x and y values when drawing the horizontal and vertical lines.
- Interpreting the double inequalities wrongly, for example, x = 5 was drawn for  $2 \le x \le 8$ .
- Some lines were drawn inaccurately.

Example Candidate Response – middle	Examiner comments
19 C	<ol> <li>Although the candidate has drawn the correct perpendicular bisector, it is too short. Mark for (a) = 0 out of 1</li> </ol>
2	<ul> <li>2 They have drawn an accurate arc that meets both sides of the triangle.</li> <li>Mark for (b)(i) = 1 out of 1</li> </ul>
	3 They have drawn an accurate bisector that crosses the whole triangle. Mark for (b)(ii) = 1 out of 1
	4 They are not able to identify the correct positions of <i>P</i> as their perpendicular bisector does not intersect with the arc. Mark for (c) = 0 out of 1
	Total mark awarded = 2 out of 4
(a) On the diagram, construct the perpendicular bisector of AB. [1]	
(b) On the diagram, construct the locus of points inside triangle ABC, that are	
(i) 7 cm from C, [1]	
(ii) equidistant from AB and AC. [1]	
(c) P is any point which is	
equidistant from A and B and more than 7 cm from C and nearer to AC than AB.	
Find the extremes of the possible positions of $P$ and label them $P_1$ and $P_2$ . [1]	

### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate drew an accurate perpendicular bisector. They should have extended it beyond the intersection of their arcs so that it reached across the whole triangle.
- (b) The candidate drew both the arc and the angle bisector to reach across the whole triangle.
- (c) The candidate identified that the extremes of the position of *P* would be on the perpendicular bisector. However, because their bisector did not intersect the arc centred on *C*, they were only able to identify one of these positions correctly.

- (a) Drawing a perpendicular bisector that was too short.
- (b) Drawing an angle bisector from vertex *B* or *C* rather than from *A*.
- (c)
  - Identifying points just inside the arc and just inside the angle bisector rather than on the intersections.
  - Identifying the intersections of their construction arcs rather than the correct points.
  - Identifying regions rather than points.

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a)(i) The candidate carried out the calculation correctly and then adjusted the result correctly to give an answer in standard form.
- (a)(ii) When the candidate eliminated the fraction, they should have found the reciprocal of 2 to give 0.5 × 10<sup>-8</sup>. They could then have adjusted this to give the answer in standard form as 5 × 10<sup>-9</sup>.
- (b) The candidate needed to consider the complete number including the power of 10 rather than just the 2. Their value of M = 4 gave MN as  $8 \times 10^8$ , which is not a cube number. They could have identified that the next cube number would be  $1 \times 10^9$  so M = 5.

- (a)(i) They calculated the correct result but gave an answer such as  $14 \times 10^{10}$ , which was not in standard form.
- (a)(ii)
  - When they attempted to remove the fraction from  $\frac{1}{2 \times 10^8}$ , they commonly wrote  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  or  $0.5 \times 10^8$ .
  - $\circ~$  Not being able to write  $0.5\times10^{-8}$  in standard form.
- **(b)** Not considering the  $10^8$  term when they were trying to find a product that had a power of 3.

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) and (b) The candidate interpreted the given sequence correctly and gave correct answers.
- The candidate used a correct method in part (c), relating the expression from part (b) to the one given in part (c). They showed clear working and the only error was in collecting the  $n^2$  terms from their expanded expression. This error could have been corrected if the working had been checked.

- (b)
  - Reversing the two values.
  - Giving algebraic answers in terms of p and q rather than numeric answers.
- · (c)
  - Making errors in expanding  $n(n + 2) + (n + 1)^2$ .
  - Not substituting their values of p and q into the expression from part (b).
  - Attempting to find a general term from the sequence 7, 17, 31, 49 which was rarely successful.

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- The candidate showed clear working and stated the geometrical reasons for their answers in each part. The question did not ask for geometrical reasons, so it was not necessary for them to write these.
- (c) The candidate started with a correct method of using the angle sum of an isosceles triangle. They then deleted this work and gave an incorrect answer which resulted from their assumption that angle *z* was equal to angle *DAB*.
- Their reasoning in part (d) was correct, so despite the incorrect answer to part (c), they could be given credit here for evaluating 90 53 = 37 correctly.

- (b)
  - Treating *OBCD* rather than *ABCD* as a cyclic quadrilateral and subtracted their value of *x* from 180 to find *y*.
  - Thinking that angle *x* was equal to angle *y*.
- (c)
  - Not knowing that tangents from an external point are equal and hence the triangle is isosceles.
  - Assuming that angle *z* was an alternate angle with angle *DAB*.
  - Assuming that angle *z* was equal to angle *ATB*.
- (d) Not using the fact that the tangent is perpendicular to the radius.

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

### How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate showed all the stages of their working which helped them to reach the correct answer.
- (b) The candidate understood that they were required to find matrix  $A^{-1}$ . If they had used the fact that  $AA^{-1} = I$ , they could have identified that  $Y = A^{-1}$  and their matrix multiplication where the arithmetic slip occurred would have been unnecessary.

- (a)
  - Making arithmetic errors, particularly in the subtraction of negative values.
  - Calculating 2A B rather than B 2A.
- (b)
  - Not realising that the answer was matrix A<sup>-1</sup> and carried out further incorrect calculations after finding this correctly.
  - Making errors in calculating the determinant, often giving –2 or 6.
  - Attempting to divide each element in the identity matrix by the corresponding element in matrix A.

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (b) The candidate started by drawing the correct construction lines. Although they drew triangle C the correct size, they did not use their construction lines to position it correctly on the grid. They should have positioned the vertices of C halfway along their lines to give a correct position.
- (c) They showed the correct vector for the translation of triangle A to their triangle C. However, as C was not in the correct position, their vector was incorrect.

- (a) Giving the ratio 2:1 or 1:2 which is not acceptable as a scale factor.
- (b)
  - Not knowing how to use the centre of enlargement and triangle B to find the position of triangle C.
  - Positioning triangle C inside triangle B. 0
- (c) Making sign errors in the vector, for example  $\begin{pmatrix} -5\\1 \end{pmatrix}$  rather than  $\begin{pmatrix} 5\\-1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

## How the candidate could have improved their answer

- (a) The candidate has found an expression for the gradient of the line. They did not write their answer in its simplest form as required by the question. Their simplified answer would have been -<sup>u</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, which is the acceleration. As the question asked for deceleration, the correct answer should be <sup>u</sup>/<sub>10</sub>.
- (b) The candidate used the graph to help find the correct answer and gave a simplified expression. To avoid confusion about the position of *u*, it is preferable to write  $\frac{u}{2}$  rather than  $\frac{1}{2}u$ .
- They identified that the distance related to the area under the graph in part (d), but they did not calculate the area of the trapezium. They should have subtracted the area of the triangle from their rectangle area to get the correct answer.

- (a)
  - Giving acceleration rather than deceleration.
  - Not simplifying their expression which was required by the question.
- (b) Candidates attempted to use 55 in their calculation and gave answers such as  $\frac{55u}{10}$ ,  $\frac{55u}{50}$  or  $\frac{u}{55}$ .
- (c)
  - Showing a correct expression for the area of the trapezium or the sum of the area of a rectangle and a triangle but made errors in simplifying the expression.
  - Substituting a value for *u* as they thought a numerical answer was required.

Cambridge Assessment International Education The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA, United Kingdom t: +44 1223 553554 e: info@cambridgeinternational.org www.cambridgeinternational.org

Copyright © UCLES January 2019